Evolution of Pharmacovigilance

Over the years the role of Pharmacovigilance or Product Vigilance (PV) has changed from capturing and reporting adverse events to a business imperative responsible for risk assessment, risk management and risk mitigation.

Drug Safety cases such as Vioxx and Avandia and product quality and manufacturing failures have increased the focus on drug safety over the last decade. With the ever rising regulatory compliance complexity and requirements, it has become a mandate to have proactive risk management strategies implemented in the early stages of drug development along with post-marketing surveillance. Thus the volume and complexity of drug safety data that is captured, processed, analyzed and reported has grown substantially.

Of the 617 new drugs approved by the US FDA since 1980, 18 had been withdrawn for safety reasons by 2003’. Withdrawal of Vioxx in 2004 intensified concerns about drug safety and hence also intensified efforts to improve drug safety of marketed products. The Institute of Medicines (IOM) report in 2006 and European Medicine Evaluation Agency’s (EMEA’s) initiative in 2005 led to the creation of the strategy document of the EMEA Road Map to 2010 which resulted in the issuance of several guidelines and regulations. These safety regulations seek to establish greater balance between pre- and post-approval risk-benefit management, thus emphasizing the lifecycle approach to drug evaluation. The FDA Amendments Act of 2007 included Enhanced Authorities regarding post marketing safety of drugs through post marketing studies and
An essential element of defining and implementing best practices in the area of patient safety and regulatory compliance strategy is the fine interplay between domain knowledge, streamlined processes and technology innovation.

One of the means of addressing these challenges have been to outsource safety operations to specialized providers who have the required scientific expertise as well as operational excellence to provide effective and cost-optimized solutions in a globally distributed model. Outsourcing models vary by company. Some outsource the end-to-end process, some outsource select steps of the process, some require service providers to work with the company’s systems and processes while in other cases the providers use their own systems. Whichever is the model of choice, the operational complexity increases with the inclusion of multiple groups and handoffs.
The evolution of the safety and risk management process accommodates multiple models of operations such as outsourcing of sub-processes, and multiple stakeholders across different geographies. As a result, the quality of a process and thus compliance to the regulatory requirements are heavily influenced by the availability of resources and the systems that assist in the management of these resources. While quality controls are enforced through independent teams, making submissions of Individual Case Safety Reports (ICSRs) and other related documents to the regulatory agency on time require collaboration of multiple resources across time zones. All handoffs increase complexity, cost and effort. In spite of technology being an integral part of pharmacovigilance, there are multiple challenges that need to be addressed to optimize along the dimensions of effort, time, quality, compliance and cost.

The core challenge, in the ever evolving process, is adapting to the changing regulatory requirements and adhering to them diligently. Being able to adapt fast with respect to proactive patient safety and regulatory compliance necessitates efficiency and scalability in operations and consistency in quality. Sub-processes like assessment of adverse events in terms of seriousness, causality and reportability can be time consuming and unpredictable case volumes or sudden spurts in ICSR can be difficult to manage. Moreover, parallel routing and reporting of adverse events to all internal and external recipients to ensure timely compliance with multiple regulatory requirements, locally and globally, increases complexity to the next level.
For instance, the approach and conventions for a PV process may differ based on the choice of commercial database, associated safety technologies adopted, reliance on legacy systems and handoffs across different teams.

In our experience while working with clients, we’ve observed that these multitudes of variables introduce inherent loops of redundant sub-processes that often cause delays or deficient case quality. While it might never be possible to do away with the variability, we can help organizations effectively manage it. By adapting a client’s processes and standardizing their sub-processes through a LEAN paradigm and by creating an automation layer that seamlessly traverses the information silos we can ensure compliance while optimizing requirements of all types of resources. By making the process nimble, we can focus on producing homogenous results which conform to compliance and quality under different environments.
An illustrative example of implementation of the LEAN paradigm and the reduction in number of process blocks and cycle time is described below.

### Sample Performance Through LEAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Step</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>After LEAN &amp; Automation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Triage</td>
<td>Count of Process Blocks</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycle Time</td>
<td>45 minutes</td>
<td>~7 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-Processing (DE)</td>
<td>Process Blocks</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycle Time</td>
<td>25 minutes</td>
<td>~15 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, we have developed an overarching automation layer which can be easily applied to any PV process of any client. We realized at the outset that such automation encapsulating PV operations would have to work without any changes of codes in the underlying call center, case processing and allied systems already in use and minimalistic changes to the operational SOP’s. Thus we built an agnostic system based on robust technology with a three tier architecture that is highly configurable and customizable and enables LEAN processes to be implemented without changing the code base. We call our automation layer SciVigilance. SciVigilance allows the client to continue working seamlessly across multiple underlying safety applications (databases and tools).
The automation layer integrates proactive case management, quality management and project management of PV process into a compliant and structurally validated system with inherent traceability and audit trail. The bi-directional data flow between underlying systems and the automation layer minimizes process time by traversing process blocks and synchronizing data. It increases effective case management through active tracking, strategic alerts and notifications that allows personnel to focus on core business objectives.
Our experience demonstrates that agile processes and robust technologies provide vital scientific insights, process visibility and key trends for the leaders to make real-time and proactive decisions.

While pharmacovigilance depends extensively on human sources for information input and processing of data, the growing volume of data and evolving regulations necessitates robust technology enabled process execution to manage the myriad of risks. Streamlined and agile processes ensure consistent quality, improved efficiencies, adherence to timelines and regulatory compliance. The synergistic combination of process expertise and domain knowledge facilitates swift and effective decisions in all stages of the product life cycle.

Technology and process enhancements play a crucial role in effective management of pharmacovigilance. Technology fosters collaboration between disparate teams, enables seamless flow of data, streamline capture, processing and analysis of data. Cumulatively, effective combination of domain expertise, agile process and robust technology has allowed us to consistently demonstrate time savings, high quality, cost reductions, increased efficiency and absolute compliance. At the project level, technology allows almost real-time tracking of risk-benefit profile and helps in informed decisions on risk minimization much faster, ultimately leading to safer and efficacious medicines.

Effective safety and risk management is a result of a multi-tiered effort from all stakeholders - sponsors, payers, health personnel and patients, to ensure safety of the products for patients and to mitigate financial risk for the company. Pharmacovigilance requires informed decisions regarding the safety and risk of the product while meeting targets of quality, time and cost.
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